

Legal Decisions, Affective Justice, and 'Moving On?'

AGATA FIJALKOWSKI*
SIGRUN L. VALDERHAUG*

Fijalkowski, A., Valderhaug, S.L., 2017. Legal Decisions, Affective Justice, and 'Moving On?'. *Oñati Socio-legal Series* [online], 7 (2), 337-364. Available from: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2977204>



Abstract

Our paper argues that a move away from the linear approach adopted in transitional justice scholarship is required to the question of 'moving on', understood as the way in which a post-dictatorial or a post-conflict regime addresses the past injustices of the predecessor regime. We consider this question in relation to two case studies: post-dictatorial Albania and post-conflict Sierra Leone. Both examples point to important factors that underpin the meanings of 'moving on' and of justice, when analysed through a law and aesthetics lens. It has long been established that legal scholarship that makes use of works of art aids and clarifies the points that it wants to make. We examine the power of certain art forms, namely the way in which space 'speaks' and the narratives found in an image in the Albanian context, and the use of film to provide a deeper appreciation of the conflict in the Sierra Leonean context. Different aesthetic practices have been used as a way to respond to historical injustice and mass atrocity, also when partial justice (through the law) has been achieved. Our article argues that law's limitations can be understood through the process of unravelling the pieces of the puzzle that make up affective justice. Artistic representation allows for a more complex narration than law's linear demands.

Key words

Affective justice; law and aesthetics; Albania; Sierra Leone


Resumen

Este artículo defiende que para poder "avanzar", es necesario un alejamiento del enfoque lineal adoptado por los académicos expertos en justicia transicional, entendida ésta como la forma en la que un régimen aborda, después de una dictadura o un conflicto, injusticias perpetradas durante el régimen anterior. Se considera esta cuestión en relación con dos estudios de caso: Albania después de una dictadura, y Sierra Leona después de un conflicto. Ambos ejemplos apuntan factores importantes que sustentan los significados de "avanzar" y de justicia,

No new data were created in this study.

* Senior Lecturer-in-Law, Lancaster University Law School, United Kingdom. Lancaster University Law School. Bowland North. Lancaster. Lancs, LA1 4YN. United Kingdom. a.fijalkowski@lancaster.ac.uk

* Ph.D. Candidate and Associate Lecturer-in-Law, Lancaster University Law School, United Kingdom. Lancaster University Law School. Bowland North. Lancaster. Lancs, LA1 4YN. United Kingdom. s.larsen@lancaster.ac.uk



cuando se analizan a través de la lente del derecho y la estética. Desde hace mucho se ha aceptado que usar obras de arte ayuda a académicos juristas a aclarar las ideas que quiere transmitir. Se examina el poder de ciertas formas de arte, es decir, cómo "habla" el espacio y las narrativas encontradas en una imagen en el contexto albanés, y en el contexto de Sierra Leona, el uso del cine para proporcionar una apreciación más profunda del conflicto. Se han utilizado diferentes prácticas estéticas como forma de responder a injusticias históricas y atrocidades masivas, incluso cuando se ha logrado una justicia parcial (a través del derecho). Este artículo defiende que las limitaciones del derecho se pueden entender a través del proceso de resolver las piezas del rompecabezas que confirman la justicia afectiva. La representación artística nos permite una narración más compleja que las reivindicaciones lineales del derecho.

Palabras clave

Justicia afectiva; derecho y estética; Albania; Sierra Leona

Table of contents

1. Introduction	340
2. Affective Justice.....	340
3. Albania	342
3.1. The communist past and crimes committed under communist rule	342
3.2. An anachronistic timeline	343
3.3. Sites of injustice	345
3.4. The voice of materialities in space and time	345
4. Sierra Leone	351
4.1. Background and context of the war	351
4.2. After the war: transitional justice (un)done	352
4.3. Sierra Leone depicted in film	355
4.4. Representation of time	356
4.5. Representation of narrative	358
4.6. Representation of perspective.....	359
5. Concluding remarks	359
References.....	360

1. Introduction

This study is about the way art forms (buildings, photographs and film) allow for more complex narrations than law's linear or chronological demands. Our discussion focuses on and makes connections between the post-dictatorial Albanian and the post-conflict Sierra Leonean experiences. Both case studies saw wide-scale atrocities and attempts made by the respective states to address these past crimes.

The use of art permits another language in which to consider the key terms that underpin the unresolved legal questions concerning 'moving on'. We explore how post-conflict imagery forms part of a poetic of (transitional) justice where the politics of aesthetics allows for the reactivation of a past atrocity within new national narratives. Imagery can be understood as a way of objectively documenting past events. Our analysis includes a broader understanding and appreciation of the dynamic power of images in *re-creating* and *re-capturing* past injustice in the present through affective engagement with its viewer(s). An *affective* rather than an effective response may indeed be the primary concern of the creator of an image. In the context of violent imagery this would be the guilt created in the viewer rather than the transformation of this guilt into action (Rancière 2009, pp. 87-88). In the words of Susan Sontag (2003, p. 102) '[I]et the atrocious images haunt us'. This affective response resonates with processes of stigmatisation found in more traditional transitional justice measures such as trials, truth commissions, purges and reparations. However, a break with the linear assumptions that are embedded in those approaches, is offered by the affective potential of images to provide viewers with (audio)visual access to the past, creating a space for them to reinterpret the past in line with their own changing narratives and perspectives. The experience is powerful and has the potential for the concept of the national narrative and the past-present-future to be brought out.

The use of imagery can provoke deeper and more complex understandings of 'atrocity and meaningful social and political responses' (Brown and Rafter 2013, p. 1018), which complement transitional justice processes by contributing to the performative dimension of law. According to Walter Benjamin (1973, p. 236)

the representation of reality by the film is incomparably more significant than that of a painter, since it offers, precisely because of the thoroughgoing permeation of reality with mechanical equipment, an aspect of reality, which is free of all equipment. And that is what one is entitled to ask from a work of art.

He further observes, '[t]he characteristics of the film lie not only in the manner in which man presents himself to mechanical equipment but also in the manner in which, by means of this apparatus, man can represent his environment' (Benjamin 1973, p. 237).

There is a fundamental dissimilarity between our case studies in terms of pursued criminal prosecutions and managed 'story telling' (Osiel 1997, pp. 114-115) (i.e. passive in Albania and proactive in Sierra Leone). Yet our investigation shows a relevant similarity in both experiences that relates to the ownership of stories and what is controlling the master narrative of the past when we unpack what is being captured in our respective art forms. Through this process, we as researchers join the viewers and the audience in discovering the potential of art forms as a significant means to engage with and move on from unspeakable events. This forces us to ask important questions about law's role and law's limitations. Not only does our experience become affective, but also reflexive. It is this encounter, a journey of affectivity and reflexivity, which ultimately is the crux of this paper.

2. Affective Justice

Law has always possessed a visual dimension and understood the significance of regulating images in order to support societal bonds (Douzinas and Nead 1999, p. 9). More broadly, law has been understood as a performative language. As a mode

of communication and expression, both 'legal practice and legal education have always consciously and unconsciously understood this [aspect of the law]' (Douzinas and Nead 1999, p. 10). It seems natural, therefore, to turn to art forms that permit another language in which to consider the key terms that underpin the unresolved legal questions concerning 'moving on'.

In fact, the aims of law and of art are not entirely dissimilar, '[t]reating the law as literature brings to the surface and highlights the type of life and the form of soul that the institution constructs and tries to capture' (Douzinas and Nead 1999, p. 10). This performative dimension of the law points to the importance of the relationship between law and art. The recognition of what is captured shows that law's limitations (in terms of judicial accountability for the crimes of the past, committed by the predecessor regime) can be disrupted, revealing other avenues towards reconciliation, healing, or justice. It has long been established that legal scholarship that makes use of works of art aids and clarifies the points that it wants to make (Douglas-Scott 2013, pp. 4-5).

The way in which a post-dictatorial or a post-conflict regime addresses past injustices is normally addressed in the field of transitional justice.¹ A break with the linear approach adopted by most transitional justice scholarship – as moving from a criminal past to a democratic future – serves to unsettle its entrenched narratives and offers depth, complexity, or 'affective justice' (Rush 2014, p. vii). In other words, if the law leads to accountability and politics to reconciliation, then affective justice is the sense that justice has been done (which we understand as part of the process of 'moving on'). The process of 'moving on' involves a variety of actors, beginning with victims and perpetrators and extending to the wider society. All of these individuals face the history of atrocities, the legacy of dictatorship or conflict, and most likely have varying ideas about how to approach the future. This makes the process of 'moving on' intersubjective and complex. 'Moving on' can be a cathartic release as a result of airing the past, but it can also be experienced as an enduring event that forms an important step towards building a robust state and society (Hite *et al.* 2013, pp. 1-3). As noted above, most studies in the area follow a linear approach, which might not capture the nuances of this difficult and complex process. Performative inquiry appeals as it offers the opportunity to take advantage of the integrative and enduring potential that underlies 'moving on', allowing for accessibility, engagement, and participatory dimensions. This, in turn, provides for a critical emancipatory potential in its format. This sort of interrogation, which has been tested by social scientists and humanities scholars alike (Bell 2014, Rush 2014), can engage, enlighten and evoke participation and response that can complement if not illuminate the law's role in specific discourses.

Ariel Dorfman's play, 'Death and the Maiden' illustrates the power of performative inquiry. The significance of Dorfman's play is the context and the timing, the year 1991 (McAuliffe 2012), when Chile's nascent democracy (and still quasi-authoritarian regime) was looking at how to address the serious human rights violations of the Pinochet regime (Kritz 1995, p. 102). 'Dorfman seems to acknowledge the futility of justice for victims in the face of unspeakable brutality...[t]hroughout the drama, Paulina [the victim] articulates her dissatisfaction at the compromises of transition' (McAuliffe 2012, p. 88). In this play, the playwright demonstrates that

the optimism of transitional justice, in providing a variety of options for the transformation of society from dictatorship to democracy has been replaced by a

¹ For considerations of domestic accountability in post-dictatorial and post-conflict societies, relating to criminal justice measures see Fijalkowski and Grosescu (2015); for considerations of domestic accountability in post-dictatorial societies and alternative measures of dealing with the past or judicial accountability, see Stan (2008), Nalepa (2010) and David (2011), Serrano and Popovski (2012). The role of the constitutional courts in transitional justice is addressed by Czarnota *et al.* (2005) and Sadurski (2005). Other works in the area analyse the politics of memory applied through historical commissions and museums, such as Brito *et al.* (2001); Mark (2010).

more humbling vision that recognises that there exists no universal toolkit that can on its own create such a move (McAuliffe 2012, p. 91).

In other words, recognition of the peculiarities of context and the intersubjective nature of the process of 'moving on' can overcome law's limitations. The specificities at play overlap and form a sort of fabric on which we can set out clues in an attempt to answer questions pertaining to justice and past crimes. Because law is a 'creature of culture', 'art may function in a role of rescue and deliverance of [the] law' (McAuliffe 2012, p. 91). Similarly, affective justice can assist us to re-evaluate transitional justice by

turning its approach and lexicon in the direction of artistic practices and their discourses of aesthetic judgment...After atrocity, the disputes of transitional justice can only be mediated by history and by visceral notions of personal and collective responsibility. We could think of this as affective justice - not so much an emotion, as a bodily feeling, a corporeal sonority, a rhythm that places our bodies on the line, [and] an obligation that haunts and unsettles the narratives of transitional justice. It is the justice, beyond all visions of right and good ... [that survivors who turn to art hear] (Rush 2014, p. vii).

The theoretical underpinnings of the aesthetics at play need continual, critical re-evaluation. In an effort to contribute to the scholarship on the subject, our analysis begins with a closer examination of selected aesthetic encounters pertaining to our specific case studies that we feel serve to explain what underpins the question of 'moving on'.

Our choice of art forms, namely buildings, photographs and film, and how they operate in the Albanian and Sierra Leonean cultural and legal contexts, respectively, demonstrates that connections can be made across continents when dealing with how a state considers the options for its transformation. Central to both case studies in terms of pursued criminal prosecutions is the way in which managed 'story telling' (Osiel 1997, pp. 114-115) relates to the ownership of stories. Moreover, who and/or what is controlling the master narrative of the past is critical to the process of 'moving on' that will play a significant role in the creation of the space for affective justice.

3. Albania

3.1. *The communist past and crimes committed under communist rule*

Albania is relevant for transitional justice scholarship owing to its peculiar communist history. At the start of the 1900s, Albania's political culture was extremely underdeveloped with little experience with democracy, opposition or debate. In 1944, with the end of WWII near, the National Liberation movement, under the guidance of the future communist leader, Enver Hoxha, consolidated its power with the assistance of execution squads that eliminated opposition members (Aliko 2007, Biberaj 2015). Albanian communism was highly centralised and the communists dominated all aspects of life. The key instrument of power was the vast secret police network, the *Sigurimi*, created in 1943. Unlike its communist counterparts, such as Poland or Czechoslovakia, where dissidents were able to draw on support networks not just within the communist bloc but from the West as well, Albania was a closed society within a totalitarian state (Austin and Ellison 2008, p. 179). This makes twentieth-century Albania one of the most important examples of a European dictatorship. Albanian intellectuals in exile write that the country

could perhaps pardon communism many crimes, but not that of having deprived her of her sons' energy of mind and spirit, a nation's most valuable capital. And when one further considers that Albania is not a nation with an abundance of intellectuals, one can more sensibly evaluate her loss (Pipa 1990, p. 25).

Very early on in the post-WWII period, the communist authorities set out to eliminate what they perceived to be threats to their newly established rule

(Fijalkowski 2015). Their move was swift and the opposition leaders and its members were branded as 'criminal' (Fijalkowski 2015, p. 591). Six key trials from this time are significant: The so-called Albanian 'Nuremberg' Trial (March-April 1945): in this trial 60 people from the ranks of the so-called 'Great Albanian Heads' were judged and sentenced on charges of treason and collaboration with the enemy (i.e. Italy and Germany); The Political Dissidents Trial (June-August 1946) where members of the opposition groups, such as 'The Unified Democratic Albanian Movement', 'Groups of Resistance', 'Groups of Legalists', and the 'Social Democratic Party' were charged with treason and collaboration with the enemy; The Special Trial against the so-called 'Saboteurs of the Maliq Marshes' (November 1946) where a group of engineers were charged with sabotage and carrying out anti-state activities; The 'Harry Fultz American Technical Institute Affair' (1946-1947) which brought to 'justice' the 'lackeys and spies of the Anglo-American imperialists'; The Special Trial against the Deputies of Parliament or the so-called 'Second Opposition Trial' (September-October 1947) where all former members of the National Liberation Front were tried for treason and collaboration with the enemy and; The Soviet Embassy Bomb Trial (February 1951). It was during this time that Enver Hoxha consolidated his power over the populace (Aliko 2007, p. 17).

3.2. *An anachronistic timeline*

The timeline for transitional justice measures could be dated in 1985, beginning with Hoxha's death. Yet, it is arguably anachronistic, as initiatives concerning the communist past and dictatorial crimes came later; Hoxha's death merely created the space and potential for addressing the crimes of the past. Nothing of the sort would occur, instead such questions were ignored by Hoxha's immediate successor, Ramiz Alia. In 1991 the country held its first free election. Alia's regime marked a thaw in the repression that characterised governmental rule up to that point, but Alia did little to dismantle the cult of personality and legacy of the Hoxha regime (Austin and Ellison 2008, p. 196). Without an attack on Hoxha's legacy and policies there were hardly any conditions on which to pursue issues relating to 'moving on' effectively, such as judicial accountability. His views were demonstrated in the following anecdote: when Alia was imprisoned in the 1990s his prison guard told him that for most of his adult life under the former regime he had been a political prisoner. Alia replied, "But you broke the law, and you knew that." (Austin and Ellison 2008, p. 196).

Albania successfully prosecuted its communist elite in the early 1990s, not for crimes against humanity or genocide, but for committing economic crimes (Austin and Ellison 2008, p. 182), which trivialised the serious human rights transgressions of the regime. The pursuit of prosecuting members of the communist party elite was the release of the 1991 Ruli Report that catalogued the excesses of the Hoxha family, down to the consumption of meat (Austin and Ellison 2008, p. 182). In 1991, members of the Communist Party leadership (*Politburo*) were criminally prosecuted for 'stealing from the state' (Austin and Ellison 2008, p. 182). Yet Albanians knew that the political elite enjoyed luxury and abundance at the expense of the people. The move to prosecute on these grounds resulted in serious offence, as most Albanians felt it downplayed the more serious offences committed by the communist authorities. Subsequent attempts at addressing the past have been half-hearted, politically driven and the promulgation of vague legislation. This lack of political will, to focus on judicial accountability for egregious crimes of the successor regime, is the main reason for the failure to deal with the past. Alongside this the lack of political experience has meant that efforts to vet political officials for collaborating with the *Sigurimi* have resulted in political parties using relevant measures to manipulate individuals and fulfil personal agendas (Austin and Ellison 2008, p. 182). Alia's assertion above can be contrasted with and considered in the context of former Democratic Party President Sali Berisha's contention that the past should be left with historians, and that all were guilty, all jointly suffered (Austin

and Ellison 2008, p. 182). This point was made during a time when calls for justice were strong, but measures that were taken were highly politicised. Berisha's approach to the past is largely reflected in contemporary initiatives dealing with the past.

Based on fieldwork in Albania in 2012, 2013 and 2015, Fijalkowski was confronted with images from certain trials, which featured prominently in several key initiatives that we can refer to as 'transitional justice initiatives', as they deal with the injustices of the former regime. Přebán (2007, p. 146) defines such initiatives as 'archive imperative' measures, in their being a record of past injustices. The first refers to the work of The Institute for the Studies of Communist Crimes (ISCC), created in 2010 by parliament, which carries out research on communist crimes (but has left open the possibility for criminal prosecution). The ISCC was reformed in 2013, with the election of the Socialist Party, and its remit seemingly more concentrated on gathering historical facts. On the other hand, the ISCC has more resources to pursue research on communist history, as well as to draft a less politicised screening of high-ranking government officials within the wider context of lustration. What this means in practice is unclear. Another initiative concerns the permanent exhibit at Tirana's National History Museum on the 'Genocide of the Albanian Intellectual', and its subsequent publications, including a catalogue of 'Communist Terror in Albania'.

The research carried out at the ISCC and the exhibit provides valuable evidence about key events and identifies the victims and the perpetrators, which include the judicial officials adjudicating in show trials from the 1940s and involved in maladministration of justice (Tufa 2011).² But it has not resulted in criminal prosecutions as hoped for by victims who continue to meet perpetrators in the street. This is far from efforts elsewhere where story telling has been recognised as reaffirming a commitment to the law or in relation to finding a more coherent way to manage memories (McNamee 2009). The context that is provided is through the narration of titles of the exhibit and publication. But the narration does not encourage questions that might ask how and why such atrocities occurred. The use of the word 'martyr' in relation to some victims identifies prominent victims only. Overall there is an absence of a wider, coherent narrative of the political present to 'record past political injustices, reveal them to the re-emerging public and organise them as a reminder for future generations' (Přebán 2007, p. 146). What Přebán (2007, p. 146) defines as the 'archive imperative strategy', the goal of which is to judge and condemn the past regime by other than criminal legal means, and which is a reflection of the legal and political visions of the post-dictatorial and/or post-conflict state, is missing in contemporary Albania.

The current government (under Edi Rama of the Socialist Party, at the time of writing) has created a historical commission to issue a report on the dictatorship. In January 2015, the Prime Minister announced the opening of a museum named 'The House of Leaves' (Skenderaj 2015). It is found at the venue of the former *Sigurimi* headquarters in Tirana. The idea is to have a museum showing the history of surveillance in the country. The concept is motivated by German approaches to memorialisation (Illyria: the Albanian-American Newspaper 2015). On the heels of the museum initiative was the promulgation of a bill permitting individuals to access their *Sigurimi* files. The law was passed by parliament in April 2015. The Socialist Party led the majority vote, with assistance from smaller parties. The German model inspired the measures concerning access to the secret police files (Illyria: the Albanian-American Newspaper 2015).

There is very little information about these more recent measures. To date, the most far-reaching attempt at addressing the injustices of the Hoxha regime are

² In fact, the ISCC's Director wrote in 2011 about the lack of political will to criminally prosecute the perpetrators of judicial crimes, many of whom have gone on to pursue secondary careers in education and several of whom have been confronted by their victims on the streets of Tirana (Tufa 2011).

encapsulated in the Ruli Report, in contrast to the limited efforts at prosecutions under the other laws, such as the Genocide Law. The 1995 Genocide Law, aimed to expedite prosecutions for the crimes of the communist era, resulted in the arrest of several members of the former communist elite, but really functioned as a screening law, which did not 'effectively lustrate and was superfluous to genocide persecutions' (Austin and Ellison 2008, p. 186). The 'archive imperative' measures have only been partially successful in setting out accounts of the past.

Albania's response departs from broader practices of memorialisation that have been taking place in post-communist Europe since 1989. For many of these states, Germany's approach to the past, or *Vergangenheitsbewältigung*, is a model. Its appeal lies in its philosophy: an attempt to analyse, to digest and to live with the past, bringing the various discourses to the public to debate. In addition to allowing space for cultural and pedagogical measures and initiatives, the German approach to the archive imperative goes far beyond the symbolic; from the start there was political will and support for pursuing the criminal prosecution of crimes committed by the previous regime (Přibán 2007, pp. 161-162). This is not the space to critique the German experience, however this unified approach and political will are missing features in Albania.

3.3. Sites of injustice

In the Albanian case study, the discussion begins by considering the materialities found in one of the buildings in Tirana, Shopping Complex 17 November, the former site of the Rex Cinema. The building's concrete contains stories that we can 'tap into' because of processes of socialisation that permit us to attach meaning to brick. Benjamin reminds us that brick 'speaks', even in its reproduction because of our interaction with it. The concrete contains narratives about the trials that took place at this venue between 1945-1951. An image of Musine Kokalari, the writer and political dissident, from her 1946 trial accompanies these narratives. Musine's trial is significant, both for the past and present, because of her uncompromising attitude at her trial. She disrupted the narratives of communist repression then – yet was used too by the state as a means of demonstrating their power - and she continues to feature today in contemporary discourses as an example of communist repression; her image is part of the National History Museum exhibition noted above. The narratives emerging from her powerful image are intersubjective and contested, and attest to the power of the image.

3.4. The voice of materialities in space and time

There are legacies left in brick and in image. Walter Benjamin (1973), in 'The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction', observes that architecture has never been idle. Buildings are appropriated by use and by perception, or as Benjamin asserts, 'rather, by touch and sight'.



Figure 1. Photograph of shopping centre, Tirana, May 2015.
© Agata Fijalkowski.

This image is of a shopping centre in Tirana. It has a ground floor of cafes, bars, and restaurants (including the best pizzeria in Tirana). An escalator takes you up to the second floor, where you find numerous bars and a few shops. The shopping centre is called Gallery Tirana, Former Cinema 17 November Complex. This is the closest to a commemorative plaque. While it is true that the venue used to be a cinema, it is less known that the cinema also functioned as a venue for the show trials carried out during Communist rule in the period 1946-1951 (see Figure 2). The choice of venue was not random. To select the cinema is to appreciate its performative value.

Performance is innate to humans and ubiquitous in our social interactions. Humans are socialised from childhood...to imitate, to pretend, to role play, to ritualise and to story tell. It is thus a simple transition to act on our performative impulses by developing artistically rendered work that reflects our dramatic nature (Johnny Saldana 2008 cited in Kisber-Butler 2010, p. 138).

Loudspeakers transmitted the proceedings across the city; the audience comprised the national and international press as well as spectators selected from the streets as well as schools (Fijalkowski 2015, p. 591). These were events not to be missed. They formed an important part of propaganda that supported the nascent regime in its quest to root out the enemy from amongst the society. Despite that fact that no further information about the trials is available to the public entering the shopping centre, the space is far from static. Space does not let us forget. The 'real' meaning of places demonstrates that we have a sense of place and a fixed identity in the middle of this movement and change. It is more constructive to look at space as a progressive sense of place that recognises that the character of the place is linked to places beyond. In other words, space is best understood socially. Our relationship with space is one that 'can provoke powerful affective responses with their qualities, designs, or aesthetic assemblages' (Fehérváry 2013, p. 7). It is human beings' socialisation that sets out the groundwork for the relationship with

aspects of the material; it concerns the process of making sense of interactions between time and space. This happens as individuals learn to recognise discrete objects and attach significance to them. This is, in fact, inseparable from the embodied or contained experiences of these materialities (Fehérváry 2013, p. 19).




Figure 2. Rex Cinema, Tirana, 1940.

© AQiS, Albanian State Archives, Istituto Luce, 2934, R2/86.

Michel Foucault and his writings on space show how space itself has a history in Western experience; he examines the network of relations between the sites and society that helps to define the space. In every culture places exist that are formed in the very founding of society; these counter-sites can be understood as 'a kind of effectively enacted utopia in which the real sites that can be found within the culture are simultaneously represented, contested, and inverted, or heterotopias' (Foucault 1984, p. 3). Heterotopias are often linked to slices of time, such as museums or libraries where time never stops building up or topping up. Heterotopias seem to be pure openings but also hide curious exclusions. The way in

which spaces relate to other sites assists understanding of materialities by the manner in which they suspect, neutralise, or invert the set of relations that they happen to designate, mirror, or reflect (Fehérváry 2013, p. 19). The inversion of time and space that we find in our appreciation of materialities leads us to a set of moments, or what Bachelard (1994) refers to as vertical time; the complex instant that gathers and concentrates many simultaneities at once (Kearney 2008). In this way, our socialisation with materialities can enrich our experience of the law, whereby law has been temporally, or in some other manner limited in reaching justice by addressing egregious violations of human rights. On the other hand, law can be found in the stories that are embedded in materialities.

One of the most important stories embedded in the shopping centre's brick is that of Musine Kokalari, Albania's first female writer from the pre-communist period. She was the 'face' of the 1946 Political Dissidents Trial and this specific image is now used as representing the communist terror in contemporary historical discourses. In her centenary year of 2017 (she was born in February 1917), her face is seen in events organised to celebrate her contribution to Albanian society: as a voice of dissidence and emancipation (Musine Kokalari Institute 2017). These events complement the initiatives to make secret files available to Albanians, discussed above. Before discussing the image in question, it is important to address the command that a photograph possesses.

Both Walter Benjamin and Roland Barthes discuss the power of the image. But both scholars focus on different components of the photography. For the former,

What is aura? A strange web of time and space: the unique appearance of a distance, however close at hand...Day by day the need becomes greater to take possession of this object – from the closest proximity – in an image and the reproduction of the image. And the reproduction, as it appears in illustrated newspapers and weeklies, is perceptibly different from the original. Uniqueness and duration are as closely entwined in the latter as transience and reproducibility in the former. The removal of the object from its shell, the fragmentation of the aura, is the signature of a perception whose perception for similarity has so grown that by means of reproduction it defeats even the unique (Benjamin 1977, p. 49).

In his observation, Benjamin emphasises how the uniqueness of the specific image is defeated by means of the repeatable production of the photographic process. Barthes concentrates on what is captured in print and what makes the subject's existence unique.

In *Camera Lucida*, for example, Barthes (2000) distinguishes between that of *studium* or cultural participation in the information or the emotion that the photograph conveys and that of the *punctum* or the surprising, involuntary transfixing element that certain images communicate. Scholars have pointed out that sight is connected to the rest of our senses, making the experience of looking at a photograph something that is synaesthetic (Stoehrel 2013). This, in turn, means that historical reality can be acquitted through representations of the real. Moreover, in the postmodern context, we depend on media narratives and reporting of events to inform our reality. Historical reality can differ from the produced image and create problems. Additionally, a photograph is the trace of something that exists. 'In the photograph we are looking at something that has been and is no longer there [...] the *temps écrasé* ('defeated time') (Barthes 2000). The photograph has a relationship with truth that creates meaning beyond the iconic (Stoehrel 2013, p. 558). Benjamin, Barthes and others address levels of the image and affect that can explain the bodily and sentient experience to become meaningful.



Figure 3. Musine Kokalari at her 1946 trial held at Rex Cinema
 © ATA, Albanian Telegraphic Agency Archives

Musine Kokalari's image serves as an example that concerns the meaning of space and the power of images in relation to the limitations of the law. Her life account is one of the most poignant of the 20th century. Hers is a story of ambition (as a writer and political voice), repression (by the dictatorial regime), tragedy (her imprisonment and exile), and legacy (of hope, in keeping her story, and other stories, alive) (Fijalkowski 2015). However, it is important to note that her image is a significant part of contemporary Albanian discourse about its communist past and communist terror, not as the country's first female writer from the pre-communist period, but first and foremost as a voice of resistance and dissent. Musine's account is shared by and part of fragmented narratives and diverging discourses. Her story and image are assigned to researchers at the ISCC and both are common to survivors and survivors' families of the dictatorship. Some of the narratives might diverge on points of memory and judicial accountability, as noted by Fijalkowski (2015) and Tufa (2011).

Recalling Barthes, it is the cultural participation in the information or the emotion that her image conveys and that of the *punctum* that communicates to the viewer her story. Musine's life account, as it is set in present day historical narratives, points to the complexities arising from the archive imperative which derive from establishing an ideal record of the past, which might not always be possible owing to the absence of coherence in the management of accounts, records and memories.

In 1945 Musine was arrested for being the alleged leader of an opposition group. In fact, Musine was a co-founder and an active member of the Albanian Social Democratic Party (*Bashkimi Demokrat*). The group's contact with British officials and its campaign for transparency in future political elections meant that the authorities would eventually suppress its activities and find the necessary grounds on which to pursue criminal charges, such as treason, in 1946 (Fijalkowski 2015, p. 588). Musine's uncompromising attitude towards an especially aggressive court was her legacy. She was given the floor after the prosecution had rested its case. She read out her defence but was interrupted by the court because it was felt that what she was saying did not relate to the charges. The court sentenced Musine to 20 years' imprisonment in one of the most brutal labour camps in the country. After 16 years of incarceration, Musine was released and exiled to northern Albania, near to where she spent her imprisonment, and where she was forced to work as a manual labourer. She was kept under surveillance for the rest of her life. When Musine fell ill with cancer, she was refused a hospital bed. Musine died in August 1983. In

1993, the Albanian president declared several Albanians, including Musine Kokalari, to be 'Martyrs for Democracy' (Fijalkowski 2015, pp. 588-589).

Photographs from her trial served an important purpose for the regime and its propaganda (see Figure 3). Musine's image not only forms part of the modern day exhibitions about the country's communist past, but at that time in 1946, her image made the front page of the main broadsheet for two weeks running (Fijalkowski 2015, p. 591) and formed an important component in the regime's propaganda machine – demonstrating that enemies of the class struggle will be punished. Today, in some ways, Musine's image constitutes the failure of this struggle. Her image represents the evidence of political resistance, a strong challenge to the contention that the communist authorities managed to destroy any remnants of resistance. In her image we relive her stance before the court each time we see it: the idea of pluralism and the contention that 'It is not necessary to be a communist to love Albania' (Fijalkowski 2015, p. 589).

Musine's image and the Albanian experience demonstrate the absence of law as a feature of justice in post-communist Albania. At the same time however, it is worthwhile reminding ourselves that law is also an important space, or venue, where we return to the past and deal with the past, which might also involve a confrontation with the past (Bell 2014, pp. 5-15). Law derives from and is channelled through the normative practices of society. Encounters with art are also normative encounters. They raise questions about the past and challenge its narratives (Bell 2014, pp. 5-15). In fact, art can provide important alternative and complementary solutions in certain places and for specific segments of society.

In this way, the use of art becomes a means of memory and acknowledgment. Different aesthetic practices can highlight justice roles and document crimes that legal proceedings are not able to do, for a variety of reasons: this is done in an effort to also restore dignity to the victim (Bell 2014, pp. 5-15). A photograph can certainly assume this role. Law becomes jurisgenerative (Bell 2014, pp. 5-15), embracing creativity, through these normative encounters. This neglected feature of law can reveal ways in which the image may have a vital part to play in restoring the dignity of victims, as well as playing a vital part in the transition from dictatorship to democracy (Bell 2014, pp. 5-15). 'To transform the individual based in a mythic universality, photography succeeds by means of the mask, which ends up inserting itself between the individual photographed (*'le spectrum'*) and the regard of the spectator of the photograph itself' (Barthes 2000, pp. 71-76). This has particular relevance for discussions concerning the law and its limited success in addressing the injustices of the predecessor regime. Despite the mismanagement of the relevant discourses in Albania, we see how space and image 'speak' and contain a legacy that can be 'unpacked' to contribute to the limitations of the law in addressing the past in a meaningful way; in other words, the urge to 'move on' is part of the relationship between society and materialities.

The engagement with space and images are normative encounters that derive from the wider body politic. The narratives that emerge from the selected examples challenge the discourses about the Albanian communist past and identify the lack of cohesion in story telling; a cohesion that paradoxically is intact in the materiality itself. The image reactivates its object in the particular situation of the observer. In the absence of an archive imperative that 'tells all', Musine's image becomes a heterotopic site for some segments of Albanian society, the survivors of the dictatorship, the survivors' families, and the younger generation of Albanians who gain knowledge about the past from families or from exhibitions that acts as an ideal record of the past for those who believe in the communist past, as well as those who believe in a democratic future. As Foucault instructs, heterotopic sites are often created following attempts to construct spaces; they are other sites, an answer to the messiness that surrounds them, a heterotopia of 'compensation' (Foucault 1984, p. 8).

Our case studies draw our attention to the master narratives that have been driven by specific agents (namely political agents with the assistance of story tellers, such as the organisers of an exhibition or film-makers). The Albanian case study is an example of a contested archive imperative strategy, lacking (on the part of the political leadership) a common vision rooted in either a cathartic airing or as a foundation for the polity. This is to the detriment of the Albanian society, a community that is still emerging from the violence and repression of the Hoxha regime.

We now turn to Sierra Leone. While Sierra Leone, unlike Albania, has had more success with criminal prosecutions, even here, justice has not been fully achieved. In the efficacy of Sierra Leone transitional justice, certain voices and memories nonetheless remain silent. Works of art, such as the two films analysed, create alternative, heterotopic spaces for these stories to be performed and archived.

4. Sierra Leone

4.1. *Background and context of the war*

The complexity of Sierra Leonean history and culture is key to understanding both the 1991-2002 Civil War and the subsequent efforts to achieve peace, justice and reconciliation. Some aspects of this complexity permeate societal differences in ways that make them particularly worth emphasising – notably strong patrimonial (Harris 2013, p. 167) and generational (Stovel 2010, p. 125) power hierarchies entrenched through both formal and informal norms and practices, as well as complex networks of dependency systems underpinning societal functioning (Ferre 2001, pp. 106-107). Achieving a sense of justice and 'moving on' after a conflict characterised by widespread use of child soldiers and systematic destruction of familial structures across regions and ethnic groups, need to be considered within this particular context.

Up until independence,³ Sierra Leone's diverse population largely coexisted peacefully across ethnic, cultural and religious differences (Hirsch 2001, p. 24). Unfortunately, the legacy of British colonial rule included the entrenchment of patrimonial and clientelist chieftancy systems (Stovel 2010, p. 63-65). Similar to other sub-Saharan colonies, traditional checks and balances were effectively eradicated in order to centralise power with the colonial administration, leaving chiefs with unrestrained power so long as they enjoyed the support of the British (Yeh 2011, p. 192). When the British withdrew their colonial powers, these chiefs sought to enact this system of corruption and despotic rule on a national level (Yeh 2011, p. 197). Ethno-regional identity gained political currency, and cleavages grew between north and south and between Freetown and the rest of Sierra Leone (Hirsch 2001, p. 24). From being regarded the 'Athens of West Africa' at the point of independence (Hirsch 2001, p. 13), Sierra Leone found herself at the bottom of the UN development index by the time of the Revolutionary United Front's (RUF) military invasion thirty years later.⁴

The 1991 invasion by the RUF, a paramilitary rebel movement, marked the start of an eleven year long civil war, which was exceptionally brutal (Coulter 2008, p. 58) and characterised by its sporadic but extreme violence (Millar 2012, p. 132). In addition to the RUF, three military groupings are often cited as the main fighting factions of the war: 1) the Sierra Leone Army (SLA); 2) a collection of local defence militias organised under the Civil Defence Forces (CDF), which was formed as a response to the inadequate protection offered by the SLA; and 3) the Armed Forces

³ Sierra Leone was under British rule from 1808 (Freetown only) and 1896 until 1961 (Hirsch 2001, p. 113).

⁴ Sierra Leone was ranked 159th of 160 countries in 1991 (United Nations Development Programme 1992, p. 20).

Revolutionary Council (AFRC), a junta organisation which collaborated loosely with the RUF.

Widespread use of child soldiers and amputations of limbs as military strategy are perhaps the conflict's most widely known features. All military factions were responsible for an array of war crimes (Coulter 2008, p. 58), including the use of child soldiers (Sierra Leone Truth and Reconciliation Commission 2004, p. 260), but most of the atrocities were committed by the rebels (Shepler 2014, p. 138). As their popular support gradually diminished, civilian terror became a key military strategy for the RUF to press for negotiating power. Similarly, as the access to volunteer fighters dried up, they relied on the abduction and forced initiation of children to maintain military forces (Stovel 2010, p. 93). Whereas the children fighting for the anti-RUF militias of the Civil Defence Forces (CDF) were commonly volunteer soldiers who enjoyed the support of families and local communities (Archibald and Richards 2002, p. 345, Sierra Leone Truth and Reconciliation Commission 2004, p. 260), the situation was radically different for the majority of children in the RUF (Stovel 2010, p. 155). The initiation into RUF forces included children having to witness or partake in atrocities against their own families, which made it extremely difficult for them to return to their local communities at the end of the war (Waschefort 2010, p. 190). By destroying the children's familial and social structures, the RUF sought to create new bonds of loyalty and dependency to the organisation (Stovel 2010, p. 94). Harsh military training and extensive drug use typically followed the initial trauma of abduction, and facilitated a transformation of the children into ruthless fighters (Stovel 2010, p. 94).

Throughout the conflict, all warring factions were guilty of torture, mutilations, killings, and sexual violence (Stovel 2010, p. 94). In particular, however, the RUF was responsible for widespread atrocities against civilians, including campaigns of amputation of hands and feet (Coulter 2008, p. 58). The amputations effectively undermined people's ability to sustain themselves, which in turn had implications for post-war reconstruction. The societal effect of the mutilations can be understood within Maria Berghs' (2011) notion of a decline in 'distinct battlefields' in current conflicts, which in Sierra Leone, like in other recent conflicts, was expressed through the strategic targeting of civilian structures and bodies (Berghs 2011, p. 1400). For Berghs (2011, p. 1400), this shift represents a transformation of the body into a currency of power. In Sierra Leone, mutilation of civilians contributed to a reversal of patrimonial power structures by targeting the labouring body, thus inverting dependency relations (Berghs 2011, p. 1400). Widespread sexual violence similarly targeted society's reproductive capacity as symbolised by the female body (Berghs 2011, p. 1400). Like crimes of mutilation, sexual violence can be seen as undermining patrimonial power in a culture where access to dependents, notably through taking wives to bear children, is a type of social currency which is mostly available to men (Ferre 2001, p. 172, Stovel 2010, p. 136). In Sierra Leone, adult identities are highly gendered and tied to 'one's reproductive and productive capacity and actions' (Berghs 2011, p. 1401). The atrocities committed during the war disrupted these identities by mutilating and disabling the body, the symbolic site of adulthood, and thereby subjecting men and women to new forms of dependencies. Replacing the patrimonial system with egalitarianism was indeed part of the RUF's ideological vision (Abraham 2000, p. 16), and although ideology became less and less important to RUF activity, their specific techniques of violence continued to effectively target the core of Sierra Leone's social infrastructure in an attempt to challenge existing power structures and gain political influence. The societal damage was substantial and when violence finally ended in 2001, post-conflict reconstruction had to accommodate this new social reality.

4.2. After the war: transitional justice (un)done

There is no doubt that three factors importantly influenced what transitional justice measures were employed in Sierra Leone after the war. First was the domestic

political climate towards the end and in the immediate aftermath of the war, which was open to international influence and assistance, as evidenced through the Sierra Leonean government's initiative to establish a criminal tribunal in collaboration with the United Nations (UN) (Schabas 2006, pp. 36-37). Second was the international community's growing awareness of transitional justice as a field of knowledge and policy. From the late 1980s and onward, the field of transitional justice grew out of 'a set of interactions among human rights activists, lawyers and legal scholars, policymakers, journalists, donors, and comparative politics experts concerned with human rights and the dynamics of "transitions to democracy"' (Arthur 2009, p. 324). Lastly, important international experience had been gained through the South African Truth and Reconciliation Commission and the International Criminal Tribunals for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) and Rwanda (ICTR).

What followed, and what became prominent in Sierra Leone transitional justice, was the presence of both a criminal tribunal and a Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC). Whereas the TRC was included in the 1999 Lomé Peace Agreement, the Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL) was first established in 2002 by agreement between the Sierra Leone Government and the UN. Unlike the international criminal tribunals of Rwanda and the former Yugoslavia, the Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL) is a hybrid said to straddle the distinction between a national and international court (Cryer 2001, p. 437). The Court was located in Freetown (Cassese 2006),⁵ securing a justice process of closer geographical proximity to the affected people than either the Yugoslavia or Rwanda Tribunal, which were located in The Hague and Arusha respectively. The Court's judges were appointed by both the UN and the Sierra Leone Government, and consisted of a wide range of nationalities.

Whilst the TRC concluded its work in 2004, the Special Court was in operation until 2013. By then, four criminal cases had been heard against a total of nine defendants deemed to be amongst those who bore the 'greatest responsibility for serious violations of international humanitarian law and Sierra Leonean law committed in the territory of Sierra Leone since 30 November 1996' (Article 1 Statute for the Special Court of Sierra Leone). The TRC, with its wider mandate, had by contrast heard (and radio broadcast) the public testimony of about 350 people (Stovel 2010, pp. 202, 213).⁶ The four-volume final report, which concluded the Commission's work, was published in 2005 and represented an unprecedented documentation of Sierra Leone's history and an important break with the country's 'culture of silence' (Stovel 2010, p. 216). The legacy of the Court includes initiatives, such as legacy reports, an archive, a legal information service and, notably, a Peace Museum established on the Special Court premises after its closing. In providing a 'physical and intellectual space to question the causes of the conflict and to discuss the nation's on-going commitment to preventing future conflicts' the museum aims to promote a culture of peace, reconciliation and human rights (Sierra Leone Peace Museum 2017). With its emphasis on architecture, visualisation and civil society engagement, the museum offers another site for commemoration and story telling.⁷ Yet there is strong sense that, despite the raft of transitional justice measures deployed in Sierra Leone, justice has not been achieved.

The use of both a criminal tribunal and a truth and reconciliation commission in addressing past atrocity makes Sierra Leone a prime example of *effective* transitional justice, and it is considered 'one of the most successful examples of peacebuilding and integration' (Boersch-Supan 2009, p. 5). At the time of writing,

⁵ It should be noted, however, that for security reasons the Charles Taylor trial took place in The Hague.

⁶ The public testimonies were chosen from among 7706 written statements that were submitted to the commission.

⁷ In addition to a copy of the Special Court's legacy archives, the museum houses collections of artefacts, photographs and war stories, is connected to a 'Memorial Garden' by a 'memorial Peace Bridge', and works closely with civil society organisations and civil groups across Sierra Leone.

the country is in its fifteenth year of sustaining peace and civilian rule.⁸ Remarkable attitudes of reconciliation and forgiveness seem to exemplify Sierra Leone as a model of successful transitional justice. Unlike the Albanian experience, Sierra Leone appears timely and efficient in addressing past human rights violations. However, this is only partly due to formal transitional justice mechanisms. Indeed, both the Truth and Reconciliation Commission and the Special Court have been widely criticised (Kelsall 2009, p. 132, Millar 2012, p. 132).

According to the Sierra Leone Truth and Reconciliation Commission Act 2000 Art. 6, the objectives of the Commission are

to create an impartial historical record of violations and abuses of human rights and international humanitarian law related to the armed conflict in Sierra Leone [...] to address impunity, to respond to the needs of the victims, to promote healing and reconciliation and to prevent a repetition of the violations and abuses suffered.

These are ambitious aims, which in themselves can be seen to reflect and be situated within an international discourse of truth-telling as transitional justice paradigm (see Shaw 2007, pp. 189-193, Millar 2010, pp. 487-489). Unfortunately, and despite the importance of the Commission's final report to history writing and documentation, studies have demonstrated shortcomings in the Commission's achievement of these aims. Gearoid Millar (2010) shows how many Sierra Leoneans considered the TRC either as a provocation for opening up old wounds without bringing material relief, or as redundant in that it did not add anything new to the messages of peace and forgiveness that had been advocated by religious leaders for years previous to the establishment of the Commission (490-492). Rosalind Shaw (2005) describes the fear evoked by the TRC in ex-combatants, who would frequently go into hiding upon the arrival of the Commission's hearing sessions (Shaw 2005, p. 4). Ex-combatants were anxious that information would be passed on from the Commission to the Special Court (Shaw 2005, p. 4), but also that their stories would invoke violent retaliation, a worry they shared with numerous civilians who chose not to provide testimonies for the same reason (Shaw 2007, p. 194).

Largely an elite project, the Commission's mission of truth-telling was at odds with established local strategies for social rehabilitation. In communities across Sierra Leone, faith and religion have been crucial facilitators of post-conflict reconciliation and forgiveness (Millar 2012, p. 132). Social forgetting in the context of these local belief systems is not so much erasure as it is acceptance of the past as part of God's omnipotence and, consequently, a willingness to leave the pain of the past with God instead of seeking revenge (Millar 2012, p. 136). Frequent use of traditional idioms, proverbs, and philosophies has also helped position a national narrative of social healing firmly within wider perceptions of cultural identity (Stovel 2008, Boersch-Supan 2009, Millar 2012). As summarised by Rosalind Shaw (2007, p. 195):

In many communities, people sought to displace explicit verbal memories of this violence through a range of social and ritual practices – sacrifices, prayer, exorcism, funerals, ritual healing, church services – the purpose of which was to create 'cool hearts' that form the basis for life in a community.

The verbal narration of the past advocated by the TRC did not square easily with these local approaches of social healing and moving on.

The Special Court benefitted from the experiences of other international tribunals, in particular the Yugoslavia and Rwanda Tribunals, and attempted to address and overcome some of the difficulties these tribunals had faced. However, critiques have pointed to important shortcomings in the work of the Special Court. According to Tim Kelsall (2009), the legitimacy of the Court was, from the outset, undermined by the choice of indictees, of whom several were either relatively small fish or

⁸ Since the end of the war, Sierra Leone has had three general elections, one of which included a change of presidential power.

enjoyed substantial popularity (Kelsall 2009, p. 134). In particular, the indictees from the CDF were regarded by many as national heroes, and their prosecution sparked widespread discontent with the Special Court (Kelsall 2009, p. 134).

From the point of view of the victims of CDF atrocities, a greater concern in relation to the Court's contribution to justice was the fact that sexual violence was not included in the indictment (Kelsall and Stepakoff 2007, p. 360). All evidence related to sexual violence was therefore omitted from the proceedings, and several victim-witnesses were consequently not allowed to talk about or obtain justice for the sexual violence they experienced during the war (Kelsall and Stepakoff 2007, p. 356). Whilst the experience of telling one's story before the Special Court has been described by some witnesses as positive and a relief (Stepakoff 2008, p. 19), the silencing of the victims of sexual violence at the CDF hearings led to 'intense disappointment' and 'considerable psychological distress' in these individuals (Kelsall and Stepakoff 2007, p. 372).

Similar to the Truth and Reconciliation Commission, the Special Court failed to adequately take local conditions into consideration in its work. Insufficient competence in local language and culture amongst the Court's investigative teams led to evidentiary shortcomings in the trials, which in some cases cast shadows of doubt and distrust over the proceedings as a whole (Kelsall 2009, p. 137-138). In addition, the adversarial style of the proceedings was at odds with local legal practices, which more closely resemble the inquisitorial tradition, and led to repeated problems with witness evidence at the hearings (Kelsall 2009, p. 145).

Despite the obvious successes in overcoming Sierra Leone's long and brutal civil war, a closer examination of the post-conflict period reveals complexities and limitation in terms of achieving a sense of 'moving on' (as far as this can be said to be feasible within such a short time-span). A turn to arts allows for a different engagement with these complexities than that of formal transitional justice mechanisms, and will be the focus in the following section.

4.3. *Sierra Leone depicted in film*

Two documentary films, *Cry Freetown* and *Life does not lose its value: Father Berton and the former child soldiers of Sierra Leone*, are in the following examined with a view to unravel their potential in contributing to dealing with the past and moving forward. The discussion considers the films within representational strategies related to time, narrative and perspective, each of which reflects aspects of the intersubjective and complex process of 'moving on' from past atrocity. Although Sierra Leone undertook steps to facilitate peace, justice, and reconciliation at the end of the 1991-2002 Civil War, formal transitional justice mechanisms are limited in their inherent linearity and therefore need to be complemented by other approaches. The two films considered for this study, uncover important meeting points between story telling, visualisation and performance, linking this art form to the aesthetic dimension of law and demonstrating its potential to overcome some of law's inherent limitations. The analysis of the films illustrate how 'moving on' from past atrocity is not a tick box exercise of official transitional justice initiatives, but instead an on-going process that takes place within and between individuals and groups.

Cry Freetown (2000) is a short documentary by journalist Sorious Samura, based on his recordings from inside Freetown in the period following the invasion of the city by the AFRC/RUF junta in January 1999. The film is 27 minutes long and consists largely of observational footage with Samura's own narration either recorded over the tape, or recorded during filming. Occasionally, Samura's narration is supplemented by short interviews. The making of the film aimed at drawing international attention to the atrocities committed in Sierra Leone's civil war. Following some instances of violence against journalists working in Sierra Leone, Samura was one of the few people present who could document what was

happening in a humanitarian crisis that so far had received only modest attention by the international community (Samura, Smith 2001). The violence documented by *Cry Freetown* is extreme, leaving the viewer with a strong feeling of discomfort, even shock.⁹ Unfiltered documentation of torture, mutilation and killing opens up for a brutally different engagement with the conflict than that provided by text alone, in line with the film's function as a cry for help. Contrasting the extreme violence, is a short sequence featuring child soldiers who were taken out of the conflict and started the process of returning to a (more) normal life even before the end of the war. Father Guisepppe Berton and his organisation Family Home Movement (FHM) embraced the task of rehabilitating child soldiers in the midst of conflict, and *Cry Freetown* introduces the viewer to some of these children towards the end, visualising hope and underlining the cry for help.

Wilma Massucco's documentary *Life does not lose its value: Father Berton and the former child soldiers of Sierra Leone* (2012) examines how life in Sierra Leone looks for a range of people associated with the above-mentioned Father Berton and the Family Home Movement a decade after the war ended. As such, it functions as a continuation of *Cry Freetown* although it is not a formal sequel. Former child soldiers and other war victims, FHM staff, a psychologist and Father Berton all contribute to a complex narrative of hope and healing, as well as one of trauma and lasting suffering. Although the organisation has provided refuge for children in need, the film shows how it does not undo their lived trauma. In a culture built on close-knit social networks and dependency structures, the severing of family ties has left many former child soldiers unable to return to the communities they came from. As discussed above, the RUF was notorious for abducting children to serve in their forces during the war. An important feature of their strategy for initiating these children into military life was to force them to commit atrocities against their own families (Stovel 2010, p. 132). Not only did this create an immense primary trauma obscuring 'all their subsequent emotional perceptions' (Robert Ravena in Masucco 2012), it also eradicated social and familial bonds making the children dependent on the new social structures of the soldier life.

Drawing on Michelle Brown and Nicole Rafter's (2013, p. 2019-2020) classification of genocide films, the two films chosen for this study fall within the *critical* category, in that they seek to demonstrate the complexity of the Sierra Leone conflict and its aftermath. Notably, *Cry Freetown* sets out a primary iconography of the civil war, which was later commercialised in the 2006 blockbuster *Blood Diamonds* for which Samura was an on-set adviser (Insight TWI). Both films 'work through' (Brown and Rafter 2013, p. 2020) representational issues of the atrocities they address in that no definitive answers are provided. They are similarly open-ended in that they draw few conclusions about the way forward, but instead limit themselves to articulating hope that possibilities for positive change may still lay ahead. In analysing the films, different dimensions of representation were identified as relevant for explaining how their performative complexities contrast and complement formal justice measures. These are the representation of time, narrative and perspective, and function as structure for the following discussion.

4.4. Representation of time

Having been made twelve years apart, the two films mark different points in chronological time. In addition, they mark different points in the timeline of Sierra Leonean transitional justice. As noted above, Sierra Leonean transitional justice appears timely and efficient when compared to the anachronistic and incomplete experience of Albania. This is to some extent reflected in the timeliness of the two films in relation to the war and its aftermath, and in terms of how they engage with the international community. If we understand transitional justice as an inherently

⁹ The violence includes three instances of people being murdered on camera, and several instances of torture, which we are told ended in death.

international paradigm, then the intervention by United Nation in ending the conflict marks the starting point of Sierra Leonean transitional justice. In this perspective, *Cry Freetown* embodies the initiation of international intervention into the conflict with its 'cry for help' approach. *Life does not lose its value* on the other hand is situated near the endpoint to transitional justice in 2012, a year before the conclusion of the Special Court's mandate. The relevance of time in the chronicling of atrocity lies in its potential to reflect the experience of trauma. A turn to trauma theory offers a useful meeting point between time, performance and the trauma itself.

Time has particular significance in trauma theory, where trauma carries a double meaning as both 'trauma-event' and 'trauma-symptom' (Duggan and Wallis 2011, p. 5). Whilst the trauma-event is something that *has* happened (i.e. existing in the past), trauma-symptom refers to the manner in which the trauma-event subsequently manifests itself in the victim (i.e. how the trauma-event continues to exist in present time) (Duggan and Wallis 2011, p. 5). As such, the trauma-symptom is inevitably linked to the trauma-event, causing anachronism, *not* timeliness, in the victim's existence. Patrick Duggan and Mick Wallis (2011) explain how 'the survivor-sufferer is perpetually caught in a violently schismatic circulation between' a desire to forget, intrusive memories, and the need to understand what has happened. In turn, this 'double and repeating wound of event-and-symptom instigates and perpetuates a collapse of narrative time: the survivor-sufferer is unable to live in either past or present' (Duggan and Wallis 2011).

The trauma of child soldiers in addition embeds the double and conflicting experience of being both victim and perpetrator. Indeed, research demonstrates how former child soldiers on the one hand suffer from exposure to extreme violence (Betancourt *et al.* 2008), while at the same time, they experience guilt and shame, and struggle with coming to terms with their own previous violent behaviour (Berghs 2011, p. 1405). In Sierra Leonean culture, numerous obstacles to the rehabilitation of child soldiers can be observed. Laura Stovel (2010) notes how child soldiers who fought with the RUF had a harder time reintegrating than those from the CDF, who are often considered local 'heroes' (Stovel 2010, pp. 155-156). Susan Shepler (2014), on the other hand, argues that the elevated status of children fighting for the CDF resulted in less access to post-war resources as they were considered as 'not needing the same interventions, including education, vocational training, therapy for post-traumatic stress and so on' (Stovel 2010, p. 134). Dependency relations with commanders, corruption and formal restrictions likewise excluded many child soldiers from demobilisation processes and other re-integrative measures (Stovel 2010, pp. 171-173). A further complication of the children's access to post-conflict justice is the common practice of discouraging them from talking about or reflecting on the past (Stovel 2010, pp. 177-178). This tendency is not only imposed by parents and local communities (Shaw 2007, p. 195), but also forms part of the policy of reintegration organisations (Stovel 2010, pp. 177-178). In *Life does not lose its value*, Sisqo a former child soldier explains why he cannot talk about his experiences (Massucco 2012):

Ya, this's not possible because I'd be afraid; I can't explain my problem to show guys because it'd be this guy [who] ruined this relation, many people lost their family. If I share my problem to these people, they'd have to see me like [an] ex-combatant so they have to find out that I killed my own family to kill theirs. So that's why sometimes I don't discuss it. I don't explain my problem to everybody.

He summarises the effect of the silence in the following remark: 'I don't feel good because I'm alone' (Sisqo in Massucco 2012).

In both films, space is created for children to tell (parts of) their stories. In this way they tie in with the work of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission, where numerous child soldiers testified in a process that marked 'a remarkable deviation' from the Sierra Leonean 'culture of secrecy' (Stovel 2010, p. 216). However, while

TRC hearings involving children were closed to the public, the films create some degree of public performative space for child soldiers to tell their stories.

A question, however, arises in regards to what type of public space is created. *Who* is the public? Brown and Rafter (2013, p. 1019) remind us that interpreting film inevitably involves the projection of imagined audiences. In both films discussed in this paper, a closer consideration of stakeholders and projected audiences unveils an added dimension of complexity. Notably, *Life does not lose its value* was initiated, funded and produced by Europeans. It therefore inevitably carries the outsider's and, more specifically, a post-colonial, glance. Susan Sontag (2003) notes how a journalistic tendency to illustrate suffering with images from Africa or Asia 'inherits the centuries-old practice of exhibiting exotic – that is, colonized – human beings' (Sontag 2003, p. 65). This perspective is similarly pertinent in relation to *Cry Freetown*. Although the film was created by a Sierra Leonean, *Cry Freetown* nonetheless addresses a Western audience, which therefore is a feature of both films. *Life does not lose its value* includes a section where one of the former child soldiers is asked what message he would like to send to young boys in Europe. Similarly, the group of child soldiers interviewed in *Cry Freetown* are asked how they would like 'this country and the outside world to help'. In addition, both films encourage their audiences to act; *Cry Freetown* asks for international assistance while *Life does not lose its value* encourages donations to Father Berton's Family Home Movement. The projection of a Western audience together with the involvement of Western stakeholders, echo a post-colonial legacy of global inequality, where African imagery is dominated by war and disaster (Harding 2003, p. 73). Similar to one of the genocide films in Brown and Rafter's (2013) study, our films chronicle atrocities 'that happened while the world chose to look away' and, as such, document 'the contradictions of contemporary human rights discourses, including the hierarchies of recognition that structure international justice and policies of intervention, particularly in the context of Africa' (Brown and Rafter 2013, p. 1025). On a more local and individual level, the two films – by offering a symbolic image of untold and unperformed trauma narratives – tap into the trauma-victim's 'need to speak about (testify) and in some way "relive" these events in order to comprehend them, and so attempt to heal the wounds' (Duggan and Wallis 2011, p. 5).

4.5. Representation of narrative

The narrative is broken in both films, but in different ways. *Cry Freetown* is visually and spatially chaotic, with footage changing between extracts from different scenes of violence. The main storyline of the film is one of pure, unmitigated brutality, with little visual context provided. Additional explanation is, however, offered through Samura's narration and careful editing of interviews, which brings the footage together into one, largely coherent history of a country and community in deep crisis. By contrast, *Life does not lose its value* is visually and spatially stable in the sense that it consists of interviews mainly taking place on the premises of the Family Home Movement. The film appears to be based on one interview per interviewee, and subsequently edited to shift between these. This means that the interviewees are wearing the same clothes and are in the same location every time they appear in the film. The visual backdrop is therefore calm and predictable, helping the viewer to follow shifts between a range of interviewees and storylines. The stories told on the other hand, are broken and less coherent, albeit loosely organised along themes. The various interview extracts are to some degree independent of each other, and represent discreet 'sub-narratives'. In this way, the film captures complexities and ambivalences across individual experiences of the war and its aftermath. Similarly, by shifting between backward-looking and forward-looking extracts, the narrative perspective of *Life does not lose its value* reflects the non-linearity of the process of 'moving on' from past atrocity and

trauma. By ending on a forward-looking note, the main narrative of hope is emphasised. However, it is an ambivalent hope:

The war, in twelve years, provoked such terrible injury in the network of relationships among people, families, mothers, fathers and children that all the social rules fade down. Now the rebuilding of a social network that works will take a long time. (Interview with Robert Ravena in Massucco 2012).

4.6. Representation of perspective

Berghs (2011) notes how, in Sierra Leone, the bodily presence of people has come to play important roles as 'sites of protest and memory' (Berghs 2011, p. 1404). For the war-wounded in Freetown refugee camps, their very presence became embodied narratives of their traumas, resulting in reluctance to leave the camps after the war (Berghs 2011, p. 1404). Child soldiers, although frequently suffering from visible traumas, including branding, amputations and other mutilations, could not easily take part in this victim narrative or the benefits thereof. The child soldiers' limited access to justice becomes evident as one considers how important it is for amputees and other wounded to avoid any association with the rebels, lest they want to lose out in the competition for sympathy and resources (Berghs 2011, p. 1404).

The two films open up new perspectives of child soldiers as sites of protest and memory. As Benjamin observes, the potential for endless amounts of identical copies means that it is not the physical object of the film that is the essence of this form representation. Instead it is that which the film 'recreates', i.e. the 'utopia' of the heterotopic site of this image that is the unique feature of the film. Benjamin (1973, p. 223) refers to this as 'transitoriness', where the 'uniqueness' of a real object or narrative can be channelled through the film, reactivating it within the context of the beholder's 'particular situation' (Benjamin 1973, p. 223). As heterotopia, the documentary film mirrors that which *is* no longer. In capturing and reproducing reflections of different realities, it allows its beholders to access the reproduced objects. The layers of perspective provided by the two films considered in this paper offer another language than the legal one. An 'image produced with a camera is, literally, a trace of something brought before a lens' and therefore contains a superior 'memento of the vanished past and the dear departed' (Sontag 2003, p. 21). The two films as such create space for complex and multifaceted representations of past injustice. However, the type of space created by film is not neutral but mediated through the film's creator. Indeed, as Benjamin (1973, p. 223) notes: 'The audience's identification with the actor [in the film] is really an identification with the camera'. In other words, it is not the child soldier or war victim who invites new perspectives into the poetic of Sierra Leone post-conflict justice; the film, the camera, *is* the perspective through which the past can be unpacked and reinterpreted in the present.

5. Concluding remarks

In both Albania and Sierra Leone, various measures concerning past injustices were 'unleashed' on society, each resulting in contrasting narratives and shared experiences of (mis)managed story telling. Paulina's shadow has not disappeared. Its key features, containing the victim's paradoxical situation where empowerment and silence collide, forces us to ask ourselves whether we are approaching the question of 'moving on' in the appropriate manner. In the final scene of Dorfman's play, Paulina, the victim, and Roberto, the perpetrator, exchange glances during a performance of their favourite piece of music, Schubert's 'Death and the Maiden'. This particular piece of music acts as a connection between them. Equally, it represents their past, present and future, and it symbolises the changing nature of power relations between both characters.

Where our two case studies meet – in terms of the limits of the law - is best exemplified by Foucault's heterotopia. The sites and counter-sites are grounded in a sharp division between spaces, with the heterotopic space acting as a sort of all-inclusive interior with its own reality within a complex and problematic context (Fehérváry 2013, p. 234). Our exploration of measures seeking affective justice, through aesthetics, which examined buildings, photographs and film, reveals that aesthetic encounters are powerful commentaries about the norms that bind, or do not bind, society. Like law, they derive from the wider body politic and are an expression of the experiences with the law. It is the network of relations between the sites and society that helps to define the space and temporalities associated with the law. It reminds us that our perceptions of the law might be changing, and modern law as a study in itself is actually a study of many visions of law (Douglas-Scott 2013, pp. 18-21). The use of art enables us to shift our perceptions of the law; it reveals the complexities of what it means for a society to address the past. Cultural images and artworks themselves challenge traditional legal orthodox approaches and narratives about injustice.

References

- Abraham, A., 2000. The Quest for Peace in Sierra Leone. *In: Centre for Democracy & Development. Engaging Sierra Leone: A Report by the Centre for Democracy & Development*. London: Centre for Democracy & Development, 12-36.
- Aliko, T., 2007. *Genocide on the Intellectual Elite of the Albanian Nation under the Communist Terror*. Tirana: Shtypur ne Shtypshkronjen "Maluka".
- Archibald, S., and Richards, P., 2002. Converts to Human Rights? Popular Debate about War and Justice in Rural Central Sierra Leone. *Africa*, 72 (3), 339-367.
- Arthur, P., 2009. How "Transitions" Reshaped Human Rights: A Conceptual History of Transitional Justice. *Human Rights Quarterly* [online], 31 (2), 321-367. Available from: http://paigearthur.com/sites/default/files/Arthur_How%20Transitions%20Reshaped%20Human%20Rights_2009.pdf [Accessed 12 May 2017].
- Austin, R.C. and Ellison, J., 2008. Albania. *In: S. Lavinia, ed. Transitional Justice in Eastern Europe and Former Soviet Union*. London: Routledge, 176-199.
- Bachelard, G., 1994. *The Poetics of Space*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Barthes, R., 2000. *Camera Lucida*. London: Vintage.
- Bell, V., 2014. *Art and Post-Dictatorship: Ethics and Aesthetics in Transitional Argentina*. London: Routledge.
- Benjamin, W., 1973. The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction. *In: B. Walter. Illuminations*. Trans. H. Zohn. London: Fontana, 219-253.
- Benjamin, W., 1977. A Short History of Photography. *Artforum*, 15, 46-51.
- Bergs, M., 2011. Embodiment and Emotion in Sierra Leone. *Third World Quarterly*, 32 (8), 1399-1417.
- Betancourt, T.S., et al., 2008. High Hopes, Grim Reality: Reintegration and the Education of Former Child Soldiers in Sierra Leone. *Comparative Education Review*, 52 (4), 565-587.
- Biberaj, E., 2015. Albania: The Challenges of Transition. *In: S.L. Wolchik and J.L. Curry, eds. Central and East European Politics: From Communism to Democracy*. London: Rowan and Littlefield, 407-431.
- Boersch-Supan, J., 2009. *What the Communities Say: Crossroads Between Integration and Reconciliation: What Can Be Learned from the Sierra Leonean*

- Experience* [online]. Oxford: Centre for Research on Inequality, Human Security and Ethnicity. Available from: <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/57a08b7740f0b64974000b88/WP63.pdf> [Accessed 12 May 2017].
- Brito, B. de Gonzales-Enriquez, C., and Aguilar, P., eds., 2001. *The Politics of Memory: Transitional Justice in Democratizing Societies*. Oxford University Press
- Brown, M., and Rafter, N., 2013. Genocide Films, Public Criminology, Collective Memory. *British Journal of Criminology*, 53 (6), 1017-1032.
- Butler-Kisber, L., 2010. *Qualitative Inquiry: Thematic, Narrative and Arts-Informed Perspectives*. London: Sage.
- Cassese, A., 2006. *Report on the Special Court for Sierra Leone* [online]. Available from: <http://www.rscsl.org/Documents/Cassese%20Report.pdf> [Accessed 12 May 2017].
- Coulter, C., 2008. Female Fighters in the Sierra Leone War: Challenging the Assumptions? *Feminist Review*, 88 (1), 54-73.
- Cryer, R., 2001. A Special Court for Sierra Leone. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*, 50 (2), 435-446.
- Czarnota, A., Krygier, M., and Sadurski, W., eds., 2005. *Rethinking the Rule of Law after Communism*. Budapest: CEU Press.
- David, R., 2011. *Lustration and Transitional Justice: Personnel Systems in the Czech Republic, Hungary, and Poland*. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Douglas-Scott, S., 2013. *Law after Modernity*. Oxford: Hart.
- Douzinias, C., and Nead, L., 1999. Introduction. In: C. Douzinias and L. Nead, eds., *Law and the Image: The Authority of Art and the Aesthetics of Law*. University of Chicago Press, 1-15.
- Duggan, P., and Wallis, M., 2011. Trauma and Performance: Maps, narratives and folds. *Performance Research: A Journal of the Performing Arts* [online], 16 (1), 4-17. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/13528165.2011.562674?scroll=top&needAccess=true> [Accessed 12 May 2017].
- Fehérváry, K., 2013. *Politics and Color and Concrete*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
- Ferme, M.C., 2001. *The Underneath of Things: Violence, History, and the Everyday in Sierra Leone*. Berkeley, London: University of California Press.
- Fijalkowski, A., 2015. Musine Kokalari and the Power of Images: law, aesthetics and memory regimes in the Albanian Experience. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, 28 (3), 577-602.
- Fijalkowski, A., and Grosescu, R., eds., 2015. *Transitional Criminal Justice in Post-Dictatorial and Post-Conflict Societies*. Cambridge: Intersentia.
- Foucault, M., 1984. Of Other Spaces: Utopias and Heterotopias. *Diacritics*, 16, 22-27. Available from: <http://web.mit.edu/allanmc/www/foucault1.pdf> [Accessed 6 May 2017].
- Harding, F., 2003. Africa and the Moving Image: Television, Film and Video. *Journal of African Cultural Studies*, 16 (1), 69-84.
- Harris, D., 2013. *Sierra Leone: A Political History*. London: C. Hurst & Co.

- Hirsch, J.L., 2001. *Sierra Leone. Diamonds and the Struggle for Democracy*. London: Lynne Rienner Publishers, Inc.
- Hite, K., Collins, C., and Joignant, A., 2013. The Politics of Memory in Chile. In: C. Collins, K. Hite, and A. Joignant, eds. *The Politics of Memory in Chile: From Pinochet to Bachelet*. Boulder, CO: FirstForum Press.
- Illyria: the Albanian-American Newspaper, 2015. Albanian to Open Secret *Sigurimi* Files: Law Approved by Parliament. *Illyria: the Albanian-American Newspaper* [online], 30 April. Available from: <http://illyriapress.com/albania-will-open-the-secret-sigurimi-files-law-approved-by-the-parliament/> [Accessed 6 May 2017].
- Insight TWI, 2016. *Sorious Samura* [online]. Harrow: Insight TWI. Available from: <https://insighttwi.com/sorious.html> [Accessed 6 May 2017].
- Kearney, R., 2008. Bachelard and the Epiphanic Instant. *Philosophy Today*, 52, 38-45. Available from: <https://richardmkearney.files.wordpress.com/2014/06/pl86279.pdf> [Accessed 12 May 2017].
- Kelsall, M.S., and Stepakoff, S., 2007. 'When We Wanted to Talk About Rape': Silencing Sexual Violence at the Special Court for Sierra Leone. *The International Journal of Transitional Justice* [online], 1 (3), 355-374, Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/ijtj/article/1/3/355/2356923/When-We-Wanted-to-Talk-About-Rape-Silencing-Sexual> [Accessed 12 May 2017].
- Kelsall, T., 2009. Insufficiently Hybrid: Assessing the Special Court for Sierra Leone. *Law in Context*, 27 (1), 132-153.
- Kritz, N., 1995. *Transitional Justice: How Emerging Democracies Reckon with Former Regimes, Vol. 2*. Washington D.C.: US Institute of Peace.
- Mark, J., 2010. *The Unfinished Revolution. Making Sense of the Communist Past in Central-Eastern Europe*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press.
- Massucco, W., 2012. *Life does not lose its value: Father Berton and the former child soldiers of Sierra Leone* [video]. Bluindaco Productions.
- McAuliffe, P., 2012. Ariel Dorman's *Death and the Maiden* as a Mirror Reflecting Dilemmas of Transitional Justice Policy. In: P.D. Rush and O. Simić, eds. *The Arts of Transitional Justice*. London: Springer, 81-98.
- McNamee, E., 2009. Eye Witness – Memorialising Humanity in Steve McQueen's *Hunger*. *International Journal of Law in Context* [online], 5 (3), 281-294. Available from: https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/B10BDOC0C5FCF587EDB2BBA551B2CC3B/S1744552309990127a.pdf/eye_witness_memorialising_humanity_in_steve_mcqueens_hunger.pdf [Accessed 12 May 2017].
- Millar, G., 2010. Assessing Local Experiences of Truth-Telling in Sierra Leone: Getting to 'Why' through a Qualitative Case Study Analysis. *The International Journal of Transitional Justice*, 4 (3), 477-496.
- Millar, G., 2012. "Ah Lef ma Case fo God": Faith and Agency in Sierra Leone's Post-War Reconciliation. *Journal of Peace Psychology*, 18 (2), 131-143.
- Musine Kokalari Institute, 2017. *Musine Kokalari Institute* [online]. Available from: <http://musinekokalari.org> [Accessed 6 May 2017].
- Nalepa, M., 2010. *Skeletons in the Closet: Transitional Justice in Post-Communist Europe*. Cambridge University Press.
- Osiel, M., 1997. *Mass Atrocity, Collective Memory, and The Law*. London: Transaction Books.
- Pipa, A., 1990. *Albanian Stalinism*. New York: Columbia University Press.

- Přibán, J., 2007. *Legal Symbolism: On Law, Time and European Identity*. Abingdon: Ashgate.
- Ranciére, J., 2009. *The Emancipated Spectator*, trans. Gregory Elliott. London: Verso, 2009.
- Rush, P.D., 2014. Preface. After Atrocity: Foreword to Transition. In: P.D. Rush and O. Simić, eds. *The Arts of Transitional Justice: Culture, Activism, and Memory after Atrocity*. New York: Springer, v-xi.
- Sadurski, W., 2005. *Rights Before Courts: A Study of Constitutional Courts in Postcommunist States of Central and Eastern Europe*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Samura, S., 2000. *Cry Freetown* [documentary film].
- Samura, S., Smith, T., 2011. Cry Freetown: [Sorious Samura in interview with Terence Smith]. *PBS Newshour* [online], 25 January. Available from: http://www.pbs.org/newshour/bb/media-jan-june01-sierra_1-25/ [Accessed 6 May 2017].
- Schabas, W., 2006. *The UN International Criminal Tribunals: The former Yugoslavia, Rwanda and Sierra Leone*. Cambridge University Press.
- Serrano, M., and Popovski, V., eds., 2012. *After Oppression: Transitional Justice in Latin America and Eastern Europe*. New York: United Nations University Press.
- Shaw, R., 2005. *Rethinking Truth and Reconciliation Commissions. Lessons from Sierra Leone* [online]. Washington: United States Institute of Peace. Available from: <https://www.usip.org/sites/default/files/sr130.pdf> [Accessed 12 May 2017].
- Shaw, R., 2007. Memory Frictions: Localizing the Truth and Reconciliation Commission in Sierra Leone. *The International Journal of Transitional Justice*, 1 (2), 183-207.
- Shepler, S., 2014. *Childhood Deployed: Remaking Child Soldiers in Sierra Leone*. New York and London: New York University Press.
- Sierra Leone Peace Museum, 2017. *Sierra Leone Peace Museum* [online]. Available from: <http://www.slpeacemuseum.org/> [Accessed 6 May 2017].
- Sierra Leone Truth and Reconciliation Commission, 2004. *Witness to Truth: Report of the Sierra Leone Truth and Reconciliation Commission, vol. 3B* [online]. [s.l.]: Graphic Packaging. Available from: http://www.sierraleonetrc.org/index.php/view-the-final-report/download-table-of-contents/volume-three-b/item/witness-to-the-truth-volume-three-b-chapters-1-8?category_id=14 [Accessed 18 May 2018].
- Skenderaj, M, 2015. Presentation of Museum's Project "House of Leaves" on Communist Secret Surveillance. *Albanian Telegraphic Agency* [online], 24 January. Available from: <https://www.ata.gov.al/en/presentation-of-museums-project-on-secret-service-surveillance-house-of-leaves/> [Accessed 22 May 2017].
- Sontag, S., 2003. *Regarding the Pain of Others*. London: Penguin Books.
- Stan, L., ed., 2008. *Transitional Justice in Eastern Europe and Former Soviet Union*. London: Routledge.
- Stepakoff, S., 2008. Telling and Showing: Witnesses Represent Sierra Leone's War Atrocities in Court and Onstage. *The Drama Review*, 52 (1), 17-31.
- Stoehrel, R.F., 2013. The Legal Image's Forgotten Aesthetic. *International Journal of the Semiotics of Law*, 26 (3), 555-577.

- Stovel, L., 2008. 'There's No Bad Bush to Throw Away a Bad Child': 'Tradition'-Inspired Reintegration in Post-War Sierra Leone. *Journal of Modern African Studies*, 46 (2), 305-324.
- Stovel, L., 2010. *Long Road Home: Building Reconciliation and Trust in Post-War Sierra Leone*. Oxford: Hart Publishing.
- Tufa, A., 2011. 'Në mungesë një tribunali' [In the Absence of a Tribunal]. *Panorama Online* [online], 26 August. Available from: <http://www.panorama.com.al/ne-mungese-te-nje-tribunali/> [Accessed 18 May 2017].
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), 1992. *Human Development Report 1992* [online]. Oxford University Press. Available from: http://hdr.undp.org/sites/default/files/reports/221/hdr_1992_en_complete_nostats.pdf [Accessed 19 May 2017].
- Waschefort, G., 2010. Justice for Child Soldiers? The RUF Trial of the Special Court for Sierra Leone. *International Humanitarian Legal Studies*, 1 (1), 189-204. Available from: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2466123> [Accessed 18 May 2018].
- Yeh, S.S., 2011. Corruption and the Rule of Law in Sub-Saharan Africa. *African Journal of Legal Studies*, 4 (2), 187-208.